



AAPS/PSCW 2010 APQ Open Forum

Harmonization of Global Bioanalytical Regulations – Scientific Perspective and Update on Developments

18 November 2010

Steve Lowes, Ph.D.
Advion BioServices Inc
Ithaca, NY



Introduction

- From a bioanalytical scientists perspective, a few pivotal questions come to mind when we consider the development of bioanalytical regulations:
 - Are there lessons to learn from historical development of bioanalytical regulations?
 - Taking a critical look at status today – what are the top areas that we know need to be addressed?
 - What options as scientists do we have to contribute to the process improvement?
 - Thinking beyond the “now”, how can we accommodate the new challenges on the horizon?
- This presentation will step through these questions and concepts



Background

- EMA draft Bioanalytical Method Validation (BMV) Guidance
 - Generated a significant scientific response
 - Clearly, scientific community understands the challenge and are willing to contribute to the process
- FDA Updated BMV Guidance
 - Projected for 2011
 - We can expect a similar lively debate and discussion
- Other Regional Developments
 - Expected but unspecific





Current Status

- Quote from APA meeting Sept 2010:
 “Bioanalysis is Stressful”
- Why?
 - Increasing regulatory pressures
 - Increased economic pressures
 - Job cuts and industry consolidation
- However
 - Exciting industry and technology developments coming along
 - Rise of biologics, DBS, HRMS, Biomarker assays
 - Proactive talk about regulatory harmonization and clarification of what is expected
 - Global Bioanalysis Consortium

Current Status

- Global Bioanalysis Consortium (GBC)
 - Several North American presentations conducted
 - EU and ROW presentations gaining momentum
 - Initial steering committee identified
 - Missions and scope defined
 - Website launched
 - www.globalbioanalysisconsortium.org
 - Harmonization Team (HT) applicants subscribing
 - Harmonization Teams forming in Q1 2011
 - Forecasting Global Bioanalytical Conference in 2012



Current Status

- Scientific Feedback to GBC
 - Make sure there's enough large molecule representation
 - General support from US presentations
 - Strong support for defensible scientific rationale to drive the discussions
 - Excellent response to call for HT members
- Regulatory Feedback to GBC
 - Encouraging feedback so far



Which Harmonization Teams?

GBC Steering Committee



Harmonization Team Leaders Group



Harmonization Teams : Examples Are ...

General or applicable to both

Run Acceptance Criteria	Matrix Stability	ISR	Aspects of Method Transfer	Bioanalytical Reports	Ref. Standards, QC and Reagents
-------------------------	------------------	-----	----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

Small Mol only

Acc & Prec and Cal. curves	Sensitivity, Specificity, Matrix Effects	Tiered Approach Non regulated Assays	Metabolite Quantification	Biomarkers
----------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	---------------------------	------------

LBA only

Acc & Prec and Cal. Curves, Hook-effect Dilution linearity	Sensitivity, Specificity, Matrix Effects	Reagents & Parallelism	Others are being identified	Immunogenicity
--	--	------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------

Consensus Topics

- **Basic 6 principles of Method Validation**
 - Accuracy, Precision, Sensitivity, Selectivity, Stability and Reproducibility (ISR)
 - Basic conduct of the experiments
 - 4/6/15(20) or 4/6/20(25) Rules
- **Incurring sample reanalysis (2/3rd within 20% of the mean)**
 - At least in the US
- **Fundamentals of what goes into a bioanalytical report (MV or sample analysis)**
 - Recent debate around the report generation process and finalization





Topics Requiring Consensus Building

- Challenge of LBA Vrs chromatographic assays
- Accommodating biomarker assays
- Tiered approaches to metabolites
- Will regulatory language accommodate emerging technologies? – chromatographic and LBA
- Statistical approaches V fixed number (e.g. 4/6/15 rule)
- Analyte stability experiments
- Scientific investigations
- Method transfer and cross-validations
- Internal standard criteria
- Other evolving issues

Emerging Challenges

- FDA Regulatory Process

- The FDA regulatory process has helped the evolution of our discipline as well as its governance.
- Has also fostered global consistency
 - Look at the basis of other emerging regulatory language
- The Form 483 has been an influential part of this.
 - E.g's from ISR to chromatographic peak integration
- However, on a global stage we are now running into problems
 - Confusion in our community over 483s issued
 - Poor visibility to context of some 483s and what should be inferred
 - Resistance outside of US to comply/adopt some developments that deserve scientific debate first
 - Divergence in emerging global regulatory language specifics



Emerging Challenges

- Accommodating technology advancements which improve quality, decrease timelines and add value
 - Electronic Laboratory Notebooks (ELN)
 - Microsampling – Dried blood spots
 - Tag-free LBA technologies
 - High Resolution Mass Spectrometry
 - Combination LBA/LC-MS assays
 - Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS)
 - ICP/MS etc
- How can/will global regulatory language accommodate the worthwhile advances?



Conclusions

- Clearly we have much for discussion
- Lessons from the past should not be overlooked
- Possibly 80% of what we do today is in consensus
- The no-consensus issues warrant a global harmonization response. Their resolution will define our rate of progress and extent of improvement.
- There are many more challenges coming over the horizon.
- Regulated bioanalysis may be stressful today but does it need to be tomorrow?

